LexEVS Meeting Agenda

Meeting Name:	LexEVS Technical meeting (Part of EVS Tools Meeting)	Location:	NCI 2115 Conf Room 5034 (NIH/NCI) Mayo RST-41st Street Bldg, 3-240C
Date:	3/6/13	Time:	1:00 PM CST
Facilitator:	Larry Wright / Craig Stancl	Attendees:	xWright, Larry (NIH/NCI) xFragoso, Gilberto (NIH/NCI) xDe Coronado, Sherri (NIH/NCI) Safran, Tracy (NIH/NCI) Haber, Margaret (NIH/NCI) xOng, Kim L (IS) xLucas, Jason R (IS) xBauer, Herbert S. (Scott) xLian, Zonghui xPeterson, Kevin xStancl, Craig xWynne, Robert (NIH/NCI) Brem, Larry (NIH/NCI) [C] Pan, JJ (NIH/NCI) [E] Roth, Laura (NIH/NLM) Ager, Mandy (Mayo) Murthy, Sahana (Mayo) xHardie, Linda (Mayo) xFrutiger, Kim (Mayo) Ramani, Vivek (NIH/NCI) [C] xTran, Tin (NIH/NCI) [C] Carlsen, Brian (NIH/NCI) [C] xSolbrig, Harold
Conf. Line	Call in: 1-866-660-5416, pass code: 2398515 Meeting Number: 732 008 454 Meeting Password: 4NCI https://cbiit.webex.com/cbiit/j.php?J=732008454&PW=NMDdjMzl0ZDVm		

LexEVS Meeting Agenda

Outstanding Action Items		
Action Item	**Status**	**Owner**
•	•	•
•	• .	•
•	•	•

LexEVS Discussion Topics		
Topic	**Discussion Points**	**Action Items**

• 6.1/CTS2/Pitt efforts – we should identify and discuss as early as possible any issues that arise.
  o An example would be Harold's comments at the Monday meeting with Pitt project participants on
    • whether caDSR may have trouble consuming new EVS identifiers, as well as
    • approaches and limits on serving up mapping information.
• Another would be the potential synergies/divergence with NLM, esp. whether they may go with MEME rather than LexEVS and how much that might affect our thinking. (Harold/Larry/Craig)
	EVS identifiers
	• Additional element of taking identifiers and turn them into URIs and the correct Namespaces. Need to look at how caDSR embeds codes. Other identifiers are used, but we need understand the namespaces used.
	• Should not be a problem with EVS identifiers.
	
	Map Information
	• Create versus serve a mapping.
	• Getting access to mapping information is requirement of UPitt.
	• Ability to serve up mappings – are there LexEVS concerns? Not aware of any concerns or limitations. CTS2 has broader capabilities than in LexEVS.
	• Will need to look at UPitt mappings to understand if there is anything outside of LexEVS or CTS2 functionality.
	
	NLM Discussion
	• There is much overlap between LexEVS and UMLS work.
		• Need to follow up on the bulk read. (Larry W).
		• Session with Ivor needs to be scheduled by CTS2 team. (Craig)
		• Craig to update meeting to include Larry Wright, Sherri De Coronado in the Friday NLM/USHIK meeting. (Craig)

LexEVS Meeting Agenda

	• There was discussion to understand practicality of loading all of UMLS into LexEVS and providing CTS2 rendering. Discovered the load time would be extremely prohibited. NLM suggested using the MEME. The CTS2 team has some code working on the current MEME. • Direction was based on the loading process required for UMLS. • UMLS vision – UMLS has CUIs and relationships provided. No anticipation of including the various details/links. • NLM services to UMLS will only include CTS2 services and not LexEVS. • Both paths of implementations are likely to occur. • CTS2 work is testing out this approach.	
• LexEVS 6 Web Services .Net c# Help	• Kim was able to make modifications to the XML build final. Sent to Kevin to review. The server will not need to be modified. Only on the client. Will need to pass this fix onto the user once confirmed by Kevin and Tin. • Kevin will run the build and test. • Kevin had contacted this individual with a possible work around. • A jar will need to be distributed with Kim's fix. • Need to see what was changed and will need to have the user fix. • Will need to be posted on the Linked	• Kevin to test and verify. • Tin to test the jar. • Post to LinkedIn when fixed. (Larry W)

LexEVS Meeting Agenda

	In and possible reference to the note from Tom Johnson.	
• JIRA Bundle (Craig, Scott)	• Documentation taking precedence. • Can push out another week.	• Plan on doing this bundle release once the documentation is complete (Scott).
• Review updated JIRA items (Craig, Tracy)	• Issues for this realease have been marked as fixed in the 6.1 release. • New features will be created in Jira and assigned to 6.1.	•
• Review JIRA items for prioritization (Craig)	• 345 – after 6.1 • 371 – after 6.1 • 344 – Kevin and Pradip to update and provide the need/use case. • 359 – after 6.1 • 235 – Need to get input from Brian.	• Ready for QA status. Need to look at workflow (Craig) • Kevin and Pradip to update 344 •
• Documentation status.	• Draft documentation planned to be completed by Friday, March 8. • Internal review to be completed by mid-next week.	•
Adjourn	Thanks for your participation!	