Meeting Name:	LexEVS Technical meeting (Part of EVS Tools Meeting)	Location:	NCI 2115 Conf Room 5034 (NIH/NCI) Mayo RST-41st Street Bldg, 3-240C
Date:	4/03/13	Time:	1:00 PM CST
Facilitator:	Larry Wright / Craig Stancl	Attendees:	xWright, Larry (NIH/NCI) xFragoso, Gilberto (NIH/NCI) De Coronado, Sherri (NIH/NCI) xSafran, Tracy (NIH/NCI) Haber, Margaret (NIH/NCI) xOng, Kim L (IS) xLucas, Jason R (IS) xBauer, Herbert S. (Scott) xPeterson, Kevin Stancl, Craig Wynne, Robert (NIH/NCI) Brem, Larry (NIH/NCI) [C] xPan, JJ (NIH/NCI) [E] Roth, Laura (NIH/NLM) xAger, Mandy (Mayo) Murthy, Sahana (Mayo) xHardie, Linda (Mayo) xFrutiger, Kim (Mayo) Ramani, Vivek (NIH/NCI) [C] Tran, Tin (NIH/NCI) [C] Carlsen, Brian (NIH/NCI) [C] xKanjamala, Pradip
Conf. Line	Call in: 1-866-660-5416, pass code: 2398515 Meeting Number: 732 008 454 Meeting Password: 4NCI https://cbiit.webex.com/cbiit/j.php?J=732008454&P	W=NMDdjMzl0ZD	

Outstanding Action Items					
Action Item	Status	Owner			
•	•	•			
•	• .	•			
•	•	•			
LexEVS Discussion Topics					
Topic	Discussion Points	Action Items			
URI resolution service (Kevin)	<ul> <li>Different resources may have different names. Harold's paper explains how a service would allow these names to resolve to a canonical name. Involves curation.</li> <li>A service exists, but it isn't java and may not fit the NCI tech stack</li> <li>Without it we may not be able to resolve any names we have in LexEVS</li> <li>How difficult would it be to deploy an extra service? (Kevin)</li> <li>Not a lot of overhead should be ok. (Tracy)</li> <li>Node.js is the current implementation.</li> <li>Explored the possibility of having an informal review of the architecture</li> <li>Without this we'd have to use what LexEVS provided or make one up.</li> <li>This seems like a high priority (Larry)</li> <li>This could be pointed to the current URI resolver at Mayo.</li> <li>Running a mechanism to synchronize between Mayo and NCI, (Feature request Gilberto)</li> </ul>	Kevin to write up a summary of what this is built on to send to Tracy.			

Hierarchy traversal implementation strategy (Scott)      LexEVS 6.1 remote API (Mandy, Kevin)	prefer dynamic resolution. Needs to be included in the architecture review checklist.  Some mechanism to capture the URI in LexEVS so it can be captured.  We have direction on URI.  Get all incoming relations even if there are a thousand or so. 30sec  Request a transitive closure. 2 minutes. List of concepts of concepts.  Human test as concept.  Neoplasm also a good test concept.  Kevin and Mandy discussed this. About 3 weeks would be the time it	Tracy to supply details of use cases.  Mayo to take this offline to discuss priorities.
	<ul> <li>took.</li> <li>Contains, hierarchy and valueset are current priorities – do we set one of these aside to work on the remote.</li> <li>Dependency issues around the CTS2 wrapper would require build refactoring.</li> <li>Plugin currently cannot connect to LexEVS remotely.</li> </ul>	F
<ul> <li>UPITT requirements for bulk read (Mandy)</li> </ul>	Still nothing to report from them	Larry to communicate with UPITT
•	•	•
•	•	•
•	•	•
•	•	•
Adjourn	Thanks for your participation!	

Page 4 of 4

4/10/2013