

2015-08-19 Retrospective - 6.4 - Phase 3 - Sprint 10

Date	19 Aug 2015
Participants	Unknown User (stanclcr2) Bauer, Scott (NIH/NCI) [C] Endle, Cory (NIH/NCI) [C]

Retrospective

What did we do well?

- Estimates were good and were able to add extra tasks to the sprint.
- Kept support issues contained.
- Peer programming provides ability to review code together. Works better when not researching in the code.

What should we have done better?

- Structure peer programming in a way that is more efficient (Scott to have prep time).

Actions

