LexEVS Meeting Minutes - 2017.03.08

Attendees

Name	Role	Present
Wright, Larry	NIH/NCI	x
Fragoso, Gilberto	NIH/NCI	x
De Coronado, Sherri	NIH/NCI	x
Safran, Tracy	NIH/NCI [C]	x
Ong, Kim L	IS	x
Lucas, Jason R	IS	х
Bauer, Scott	Mayo	х
Stancl, Craig	Mayo	
Endle, Cory	Mayo	х
Wynne, Robert	NIH/NCI [C]	x
Tran, Tin	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Kuntipuram, Kumar	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Haber, Margaret	NIH/NCI	x

Action Items

Assigned	Description	Date Identified	Due Date	Date Completed	Status
Cory	Add link to Maven Opts for end users	2016.12.21			Open

Agenda

Sprint Status	Current Sprint - Sprint 51 (March 2, 2017 – March 15, 2017) 16X237 Agile Development - Sprint Status#16X237AgileDevelopment-SprintStatus-Sprint51
Triple store discussion • Gilberto	Discussion Points: • Gilberto gave an overview of the current status of the triple stores. • Gilberto is waiting for quotes to come back. • Stardog has another version coming out that may improve performance. Decision Points: • The team decided to go with Stardog if we can get several developer licenses for a discount. (They charge \$8600 /developer license). Otherwise we will go with Virtuoso.
DEV Server Configurations DEV Blade	Discussion Points: Jacob anticipates the DEV blade VM will be installed with the 6.4.1.3 FINAL by early next week. Cory created a ticket for Jacob to create separate MySQL 5.6 DBs for the DEV blade and VM instances. Decision Points:

Value Set Loading	Discussion Points:
Load Update	 LexEVS Meeting Minutes - Value Set Architecture Planning Session - 2017.03.02 We discussed how we could automate testing of loaded value set definitions and resolved value sets (in the meeting link above). Tracy suggested starting from scratch to fix this error-prone approach. Rob asked if we could we use the content of Thesaurus for populating the value set? We could look into using an OWL based approach Concept in subset. Tracy suggested that this could be a prototype for outside groups to use too. We will continue this discussion at our value set meeting next Tuesday, 3/14. Decision Points: Scott to test the value set load on the NCI DEV system. Mayo dev team will look into a new architecture/design for generating the value sets.
CTS2 REST API Discussion	Discussion Points:
	The Mayo dev team looked at the 6 CTS2 issues and determined what was possible for each.
	 LEXEVSCTS2-138: This fits within the realm of the CTS2 spec and could be implemented as a part of that specification
	 LEXEVSCTS2-139, 140: These are LexEVS coding scheme metadata and don't really have a good counterpart or fit in CTS2. We'd likely want to provide a separate resource or service for these. LEXEVSCTS2-142: Return only the specified information on an entity
	 This would be a new call that returned a new partial resource LEXEVSCTS2-143: Return a property type for an entity This would be a new call that returned a new partial resource
	 Example Call https://lexevscts2.nci.nih.gov/lexevscts2/codesystem/NCI_Thesaurus/version/16.12d/entity/C422?
	format=json • Would need to filter the properties to return this specific property type
	LEXEVSCTS2-144: Retrieve a concept based on the presence of a restriction Workflow - series of calls to get from CTS2
	 A new wrapper/extension REST call would need to be created to do this in one call.
	 Priorities (suggested by Tracy) Ability to retrieve the FULL_SYN information (Larry) Search on property for a given criteria Give me all the concepts that have a specific property Limit the result set coming back.
	Decision Points:
	Set up meeting to look at examples and discuss.
	Start to add to sprints - convenience methods for LEXEVSCTS2-142, LEXEVSCTS2-143
AnyURI Designation	Discussion Points:
	 Gilberto - from the snippet that Scott provided: an annotation property that doesn't have a range. There have been JIRA issues that were created for the points that Gilberto discussed.
	Decision Points:
	Gilberto to send an OBO example code snippet for the issues he discussed
Docker - Next Steps Discussion	Discussion Points: • Docker Contacts: Mike Stockwell & Sue Pan
	Decision Points:
Resolving all relationships	Discussion Points:
for a given association • Discussion with Kim	 What is the use case for resolving all relationships for a given association. Is there a requirement for Enumerations There is a workaround that can retrieve these relationships, but we should discuss implementing a more efficient API call.
	Decision Points:

LexEVS External Users	Discussion Points:
	Decision Points:
Proposed Backlog Review	Discussion Points:
Items	Decision Points:
Team Absences	Mayo Team Cory - Scott - Craig - March 6-13 NCI Tracy March 9-17 Rob March 20-24

JIRA Issues

Recent LexEVS Related Bugs and Features (within last week)



Recent CTS2 Service Related Issues (within last week)



6.4 LexEVS Related Issues



6.4 CTS2 Service Related Issues

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution



⚠ Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

View these issues in Jira

Project Plan Changes

#	Description	Due Date	Resources	Notes	Risks	Mitigation
	None					

Planned Activities

Area of Interest	Details

Risks, Issues, Dependencies Risks

#	Opened Date	Due Date	Description	Likelihood (H, M, L)	Impact	Assigned	Status	Mitigation Strategy

Issues

#	Opened Date	Description	Impact	Assigned	Status

Dependencies

Opened Date	Description	Assigned

Action Item Backlog

#	Assigned	Description	Date Identified	Due Date	Date Completed	Status