




LexEVS Meeting Minutes - Value Set Architecture Planning Session - 2017.09.18


Attendees


Name	Role	Present
Safran, Tracy	NIH/NCI [C]	x
Wynne, Robert	NIH/NCI [C]	x
Ong, Kim L	IS	x
Lucas, Jason R	IS	x
Bauer, Scott	Mayo	x
Stancl, Craig	Mayo	x
Endle, Cory	Mayo	x


Agenda

Discussion of latest VS work	<p>Discussion Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Published value set property will be published all the way up to prod.• VS hierarchy - Added other hierarchies (besides the source asserted value sets).<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ NCIt and NDRFT• VS updates - how to determine if one has been updated.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ We are investigating looking at the history to determine if the VS has changed. <p>Decision Points:</p>
Discuss usage of new mass value set load feature	<p>Discussion Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rob indicated that they are using the mass value set load for loading value sets and resolved value sets. <p>Decision Points:</p>
Review and prioritize remaining VS issues in the backlog	<p>Discussion Points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•  LEXEVS-1732 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ VS updates - how to determine if one has been updated.<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ We are investigating looking at the history to determine if the VS has changed.•  LEXEVS-2965 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Need to determine how to resolve these hierarchies quickly/efficiently<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Possibly use the triple store▪ Or Within LexEVS, use the entities association to entities table◦ What are the requirements?<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Given Identifier and namespace, we can get these for the end user quickly.▪ For resolved VS, Kim wants an iterator▪ For a hierarchy/graph, for every value set, Kim needs the parent code, child code and namespace. (we would need to resolve this with the 1 to many relationship in mind - for at least the children.)<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Scott suggested we would use the entities association to entities table to store this relationship.

-  [LEXEVS-2969](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
- The main user that is looking at a hierarchical value sets within CTRP is using EVS API
 - caDSR - would prefer REST to retrieve hierarchical value sets.
- Neoplasm hierarchy - everything needed for this VS is inside the NCIt.
 - We should discuss priority regarding this item with Larry.
 - Requirements:
 - Subclass of relationships
- NICHD and CDRH value sets
 - Tracy suggested has NICHD parent and CDRH parent would be good to load as a relationship.
 - Rob suggested it would be nice if the source asserted hierarchy is loaded in LexEVS to be displayed in the browser.
 - Load as a monolithic VS (for performance reasons).
 - Currently loaded with the terminology value set.
 - Scott suggested to load as hierarchies, individually and link them.
- Browser hierarchy - Kim looks at "is-a relationship" to determine hierarchy.

-  [LEXEVS-2968](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

-  [LEXEVS-3194](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

-  [LEXEVS-3195](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

-  [LEXEVS-3195](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Decision Points:

- Scott will create a JIRA item to improve the transitive table performance during the load.