LexEVS Meeting Minutes - 2017.10.04

Attendees

Name	Role	Present
Wright, Larry	NIH/NCI	х
Fragoso, Gilberto	NIH/NCI	х
De Coronado, Sherri	NIH/NCI	х
Safran, Tracy	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Ong, Kim L	IS	х
Lucas, Jason R	IS	х
Bauer, Scott	Mayo	х
Stancl, Craig	Mayo	х
Endle, Cory	Mayo	х
Wynne, Robert	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Kuntipuram, Kumar	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Haber, Margaret	NIH/NCI	
Mensah, Jacob	NIH/NCI [C]	х
Trong, Tracy	NIH/NCI [C]	
Din, Sana	NIH/NCI [C]	
Kessler, Ron		

Action Items

Assigned	Description	Date Identified	Due Date	Date Completed	Status

Agenda

EVS Weekly Tech Meeting	Discussion Points: • LexEVS • Nothing new to report this week • Browser/Tools • Data • Systems • Triple Store/EVS API Decision Points:
Sprint Status	Current Sprint - Sprint 66 (September 28, 2017 – October 11, 2017) 16X237 Agile Development - Sprint Status#16X237AgileDevelopment-SprintStatus-Sprint66
Tier Deployment - Update • Tier Deployment ○ Data deployment	Discussion Points: Decision Points:

Face2Face Discussion	Discussion Points: Possible Travel Dates November 6 - 10 13 - 17 December 4 - 8 - This looks like the best week as of now. 11 - 15 (Rob out) F2F discussion and content to include in LexEVS 7.0 REST APIs/Triple Stores and their trade offs Stardog and its built in graph database Surfacing history in a REST service Ability to time travel the history - NCIt and ULMS Surfacing history of value sets in a REST service Direct calls to NCIt for value sets
	Decision Points:
Hierarchy prioritization	Discussion Points: LEXEVS-2969 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. Some value sets have structure (like neoplasm). Should this be implemented in LexEVS so the structure can be viewed in the browser? ICBO 3 map representation is of interest It was suggested that it would be nice to load a set of mappings to a set of URLs instead of a source/target coding scheme. (possibly for LexEVS 7.0) Decision Points: Larry suggested that overall, this is not a high priority. The highest is the relationship of value set to value set that Kim is doing. Then the next priority would be ICBO 3 map.
Compiled Value sets	Discussion Points: LEXEVS-3334 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. We should consider removing them. These are loaded at value set resolve time. The problem is that they are persisted, but don't get updated. They need to be updated when the value set changes. How would we test performance without them? We can remove them all and then resolve a large value set (which will create the compiled value set). Then again (which will use the compiled value set) and see what the performance difference is. Decision Points: We should do some initial testing to see what the performance difference is with and without using the compiled value set.
Errors during the History load	Discussion Points: • Not discussed today. Decision Points:
NCIt load of the published value set version - errors during load	Discussion Points: • Not discussed today. Decision Points:

Backlog grooming - plan meeting	Discussion Points:
	We will plan a grooming session in the near future.
	Decision Points:
LexEVS External Users	Discussion Points:
	Decision Points:
Team Absences	Mayo Team
	Cory - Scott -
	• Craig -
	NCI
	• Tracy -
	 Rob - 12/11 - 12/18, 2/13 – 3/6 Kim -
	• Larry -

JIRA Issues

Recent LexEVS Related Bugs and Features (within last week)



Recent CTS2 Service Related Issues (within last week)



6.5 LexEVS Related Issues



6.5 CTS2 Service Related Issues



View these issues in Jira

Project Plan Changes

#	Description	Due Date	Resources	Notes	Risks	Mitigation
	None					

Planned Activities

Area of Interest	Details

Risks, Issues, Dependencies Risks

#	Opened Date	Due Date	Description	Likelihood (H, M, L)	Impact	Assigned	Status	Mitigation Strategy

Issues

#	Opened Date	Description	Impact	Assigned	Status

Dependencies

Opened Date	Description	Assigned

Action Item Backlog

1	#	Assigned	Description	Date Identified	Due Date	Date Completed	Status